

# **Student Learning Assessment Report (SLAR)**

Instructions: This template is a running document of each annual Academic Program Assessment Report due to the department chairs and Provost the last Friday in October. The final report in the document should be the official report of the year of the full Program Review. All reports below use the same report template. If the report is the Program Review year, please indicate it next to "Program Review Year" and also submit the Academic Program Review (APR).

**Department:** CBC Center for Vocational Ministry

Academic Program Evaluated: Church Ministries: Church Leadership

	Year 1 <b>Academic Year:</b> 18-19	Year 2 Academic Year: 19-20	Year 3 <b>Academic</b> <b>Year:</b> 20-21	Year 4 Academic Year: 21-22
Faculty members	Michael Jaffe			
involved in this	Christopher M. McGough			
assessment				
process: (List all faculty members who participated: program coordinator, reviewers, committee members, etc.)				
Number of	Freshmen:	Freshmen:	Freshmen:	Freshmen:
students in	Sophomores:	Sophomores:	Sophomores:	Sophomores:
sample: (If known,	Juniors:	Juniors:	Juniors:	Juniors:
supply the number of students in each	Seniors: 8	Seniors:	Seniors:	Seniors:

Program Review Year: 2021-22

class/year who were used in the assessment report.)	Graduate:	Graduate:	Graduate:	Graduate:
Instrument(s)	Capstone Project			
used in				
assessment: (List the exams, standardized tests, portfolios, etc. that were used in the assessment process.)				
Additional Data:	A. One-Year Ministry Plan comprised of:			
(List any additional	i. a preaching plan comprised of topics to be covered			
information/data that informed this report.)	ii. a one-year plan for implementing each of the five			
illiornieu uns report.)	functions of the church (connect, go, serve, grow, and			
	worship) through programs, events, and initiatives in your			
	area of ministry			
	iii. 52 sermons ideas each with a central scripture that			
	would be preached throughout the year.			
	iv. any programs or events that you intend to see during			
	the year.			
	v. the plan should capture all of this on a master planning calendar laid out by month			
Methodology:	The Church Ministries: Church Leadership program			
(Explain the method of	objectives will be assessed through the CHMN 411 Senior			
data collection and the	Capstone course and the subsequent construction of a			
data analysis process.)	comprehensive ministry plan. The assessment of the			
	project will be completed using an analytic grading rubric			
	and assessed by the program coordinator. Results will be			
	shared with the student and kept in electronic records.			
	Each student will submit their project to the professor of			
	the capstone course which will be evaluated for a grade,			

	but then also evaluated by the program coordinator for assessment purposes.		
Results of Assessment: (List the findings in summary format as	The overall average for the Capstone Assessment Project for the class was: <b>3.35</b> /4 with a 3 being the desired outcome.		
narrative.)	For PLO #1 "Worship" which evaluates the student's readiness to demonstrate the ability to assist people to become authentic worshippers of God, the course average was <b>3.13</b> with 3 being the desired outcome.		
	For PLO #2 "Leadership" which evaluates the student's readiness to lead the ministries of the church in Pentecostal distinctives was <b>3.38</b> with 3 being the desired outcome.		
	For PLO #3 "Evangelism" which evaluates the student's readiness to create effective means to evangelize locally, nationally, and internationally was <b>3.5</b> with 3 being the desired outcome.		
	For PLO #4 "Discipleship" which evaluates the student's readiness to provide holistic, Bible-based Christian discipleship programs was <b>3.25</b> with 3 being the desired outcome.		
	For PLO #5 "Compassion" which evaluates the student's ability to model the compassion of Christ to the needy, sick, and oppressed was <b>3.5</b> with 3 being the desired outcome.		

Data: (D. 11.11						
<b>Data:</b> (Provide the graphs, charts, etc. that	Course average *	3.13/3	3.3873	35/3	3.25/3	35/3
were used to show data	Laureling Outcome	Worship	Leatership	Evergetien	Disciplinship	Companier
results. Do not include	Traver Ball	1000000		***************************************	Supplication of the suppli	The state of the s
the actual data.)	C Zachary Corr	2/3 👅	3/3	4/3	3/3	4/3
	Courtney Cathey	3/3 🛢	4/7	4/3	4/3	4/3
	Jeannette Correa-Arroyo	W/M .	7/0	157.05	17/0	1470
	Blake Eggimann	4/3	4/3	4/3	4/3	4/3
		9990	9/4			
	Course average +	3,13/7	5:30/3	25/3	3.25/3	25/3
	Learning Outcome	Warship	Sasterify	Evangation	Disciplinable	Companion
	Jamison Hein					
	Colton Henning	473:	4/0	4/3	4/3	4/3
	■ Jonathan Hukill					
	Rexy Kolone-Jennings	3/3 🛗	3/3	3/3 🔳	3/3	3/3
	Cov McAlister					
	James Mullins					
	Matt Munmert					
	Timothy Push	3/3 📕	2/3 📙	1/3	2/3 🦲	3/1 🔳
	Christina Rabickow					
	Mason Rozean					
	Jonathan Tech	2/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3
	(6) Cherish Ward	3/3	4/3	3/3 📕	3/3 📳	3/3
Strengths: (From						
the findings, list the						
areas of strengths that currently exist in the						
academic program.)						
F- 68. mm.)						
Areas in need of						
improvement:						
(From the findings, list						
the areas of						
weakness(s) that						
currently exist in the academic program.)						
idemic program.)						

Year 1:					
Plans for improvement: (Provide the improvement plan, when it will be implemented, and person who will administer the improvement plan.) *If an A.A. degree is part of this program, describe how the changes to this program affect the A.A. degree, if any.					
Plan for Improvement	Timeline	Responsible Person			

### Year 1:

**Improvements made:** (List completed improvement plans and dates of actual implementation.) If an A.A. degree is part of this program, describe how the changes to this program affect the A.A. degree, if any.

Improvement Plan	Implementation Date

## Year 2:

**Plans for improvement:** (Provide the improvement plan, when it will be implemented, and person who will administer the improvement plan.) \*If an A.A. degree is part of this program, describe how the changes to this program affect the A.A. degree, if any.

Plan for Improvement	Timeline	Responsible Person

Year	2:
------	----

**Improvements made:** (List completed improvement plans and dates of actual implementation.)
\*If an A.A. degree is part of this program, describe how the changes to this program affect the A.A. degree, if any.

Improvement Plan	Implementation Date

#### Year 3:

**Plans for improvement:** (Provide the improvement plan, when it will be implemented, and person who will administer the improvement plan.) \*If an A.A. degree is part of this program, describe how the changes to this program affect the A.A. degree, if any.

Plan for Improvement	Timeline	Responsible Person

#### Year 3:

**Improvements made:** (List completed improvement plans and dates of actual implementation.)
\*If an A.A. degree is part of this program, describe how the changes to this program affect the A.A. degree, if any.

Improvement Plan	Implementation Date

## Year 4:

**Plans for improvement:** (Provide the improvement plan, when it will be implemented, and person who will administer the improvement plan.) \*If an A.A. degree is part of this program, describe how the changes to this program affect the A.A. degree, if any.

Plan for Improvement	Timeline	Responsible Person

## Year 4:

**Improvements made:** (List completed improvement plans and dates of actual implementation.)
\*If an A.A. degree is part of this program, describe how the changes to this program affect the A.A. degree, if any.

Improvement Plan	Implementation Date
	_